

## **Tier 3 Student Problem Solving Form**

Student Name:		Student Number:	Grade:	Date:/
The meeting will focus of Members Present:	on student learning in (circle or		Behavior	
Student Problem Identification (What is the problem?)	Hypothesis COILE (Why is it occurring?)	Validate Hypothesis  RIOT  (How do we know?)	Student Intervention Plan (What will we do about it?)	Review Effectiveness of Intervention (Did it work?)
(what is the problem:)	Curriculum:	Circle hypothesis to validate (C O I L E)	Evidence-based Intervention:	Review date://
	Organization:	C O I L E  Review:  Person Responsible:	Frequency (check one):1x per week2x per week3x per week4x per weekDaily	Results:
		C O I L E Interview:	Duration (check one):15 min20 min30 minOther:	Review date:// Results:
	Instruction:	Person Responsible:	Group size (check one):Individual2-5Other:	
		COILE Observe:	Progress Monitoring Tool:	
	Learner:	Person Responsible:	Progress Monitoring (check one):WeeklyBi-MonthlyMonthlyOther:	Review date:// Results:
	Environment:	COILE  Test:  Person Responsible:	Fidelity Check (check one): Attendance RosterWalkthrough dataSelf ReportLesson Plan	
	Environment.	Date to review:// Hypothesis Validated: Yes No	Fidelity ChecklistOther:  Interventionist:  Date Intervention Begins:/_/	Number of dates to review data will vary based on student need.

**Distribution:** Original to Cumulative Folder **SB 87074 (Orig. 9/29/2011)** 

## **Tier 3 Student Problem Solving Form**

Student Nan	Name:										Student Number:																									
	Student SMART Goal																																			
The student's proficiency in							will increase from								t	0		by the end of																		
as measured by							administered on											_ <b>.</b>																		
	Progress Monitoring Data																																			
Progress Monitoring Tool:										Record dates and check (✓) days student received i														l int	erve	entio	n.									
Dates	M	T	W	T H	F	P M Score	M	Т	W	T H	F	PM Score	M	T	W	T H	F	PM Score	M	T	W	T H	F	PM Score	M	T	W	T H	F	PM Score	M	T	W	T H	F	PM Score
xpected erformance*																																				
omparative ata																																				
mall group verage																																				

## Please circle whether comparative data are:

Grade-level peers at the state level Grade-level peers at the school level Grade-level peers at the class level

Grade-level peers in specific subgroups

Grade-level national norms

Age-level peers

Please attach graph if available.

**Distribution:** Original to Cumulative Folder **SB 87074 (Orig. 9/29/2011)** 

<sup>\*</sup>Expected performance should match the instructional level of the intervention.